1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARTHUR D. TOWNSEND,	1:20-cv-00209-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
14	MELVIN ENCINA, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 1915.	
20	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged	
21	violations took place in Solano County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United	
22	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have	
23	been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
24	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
25	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action	
26	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to	
27	proceed in forma pauperis.	
28		

1	Good cause appearing, it is hereby ordered that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
3	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
5	filed at:	
6	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
7	501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
8	Sacramento, CA 93814	
9	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		
12	Dated: February 13, 2020	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15	No. 204.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		